

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,347	08/16/2001	Bangalore Aswatha Nagaraj	13DV14035	2644	
31316 7	7590 06/09/2003				
MCNEES, WALLACE & NURICK			EXAMINER		
100 PINE STR BOX 1166			MCNEIL, JE	MCNEIL, JENNIFER C	
HARRISBURG, PA 17108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775	Q.	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	, 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/931,347	NAGARAJ ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Jennifer McNeil	1775	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	Iress
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, noin. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) a statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corume ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>19 May 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for forma	matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice tion of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the appli			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration	1.	
5)🖾	Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-8 is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requiremer	it.	
• •	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Ex		shipsted to by the Evaminer	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2001 is			
44)[]	Applicant may not request that any objection. The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.
· 11)[_]	If approved, corrected drawings are require			
12\□	The oath or declaration is objected to by			
, , _	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U	S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-) All b) Some * c) None of:	loreign phonty under 60 c.	0.0.3 110(4) (4) 0. (1)	
a _,	,	uments have been receive	d.	
	= -			
				Stage
. *	3. Copies of the certified copies of transplication from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for the control of the certified copies of the certified c	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).	0 -
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
	 a) The translation of the foreign languate Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d 	age provisional application	has been received.	
Attachme		• •	·	
1) 🔯 Not 2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) lice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-0 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:	
L D Datastased	Trademade Office			

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the after-final response, Paper No. 7, filed May 19, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaeffer (US 6,066,405). Schaeffer teaches a nickel-based superalloy substrate including a coating region (34,36) comprising a single-phase composition of aluminum, platinum, nickel, and the diffused components of the substrate (col. 5, lines 61-65). A diffusion zone is immediately adjacent to the substrate (32) and the platinum-aluminum region (34, 36) (col. 5, lines 8-20).

Regarding claim 2, as stated above, the substrate is a nickel-based superalloy.

Regarding claim 3, the substrate may be a turbine engine component.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, the content of the platinum may be 18-45 wt%, and the content of the aluminum may be 18-24 wt% (col. 5, lines 50-60).

Regarding claim 8, a ceramic layer (38) may be provided over the coating.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/931,347

Art Unit: 1775

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaeffer (US 6,066,405). Schaeffer teaches a coating for a turbine component as discussed above, but does not give specific values of the platinum and aluminum content within applicant's range. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness (*In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-15 are allowed.

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, and 6-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's comments in Paper No. 7 have overcome the rejections of Paper No. 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/931,347

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer McNeil whose telephone number is 703-305-0553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 703-308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer McNeil
Examiner
Art Unit 1775

JCM June 6, 2003